

Problem Set #1: Univariate Time Series Analysis
Econ 911: Applied Macroeconomics

Unless stated otherwise, $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma^2)$ in all of the following questions (a type of white noise).

1. For each of the following 5 univariate stochastic processes, state conditions on model parameters that are required for y to be (i) stationary and (ii) invertible, or state that a process always does or does not satisfy one (or both) of the conditions:

- A. $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t$
- B. $y_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2}$
- C. $y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}$
- D. $y_t = y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$
- E. $y_t = \varepsilon_t - \varepsilon_{t-1}$

- A1. For process A, calculate the population's first partial autocorrelation based on autocovariances for y , where γ_j is the j -th autocovariance (but don't calculate the precise relationships between autocovariances and process parameters).
- A2. What are all remaining partial autocorrelations for process A in population?
- B1. Calculate all autocorrelations for process B in terms of its parameters in population.
- C1. Precisely derive the infinite order moving average representation for process C.
- D1. Show that the variance of y_t in process D increases with t .
- E1. For process E, what is the first partial autocorrelation in population in terms of process parameters?

2. Suppose x_t is represented by the following process:

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t - \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2}$$

Assuming the second order lag polynomial for this moving average process can be factored into two first order lag polynomials with real-valued parameters, find a new stochastic process with different coefficients and a different error variance with precisely the same autocovariances.

[Hint: Section 3.7 in Hamilton's *Time Series Analysis* textbook discusses invertibility and how autocovariance generating functions are helpful in answering this sort of question.]

3. Assume that the true process is:

$$y_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta\varepsilon_{t-1}$$

However, instead of estimating an MA model, you estimate an AR(1) model.

- a) What is the population OLS coefficient for an AR(1) model in terms of parameters for the true process?
- b) What is the ratio of the variance of the OLS residual to the variance of ε for the estimate in part a.
- c) Use your equation from part b to make a table that shows this variance ratio for the following values of θ : {0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.0}. What did you learn from this table?

4. Let x_t be generated by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= y_t + e_t && \text{with } e_t \text{ a W.N. process with variance equal to } \sigma_e^2, \\ y_t &= \rho y_{t-1} + a_t && \text{with } a_t \text{ a W.N. process with variance equal to } \sigma_a^2, \end{aligned}$$

with a and e independent from one another and each has a mean of zero.

Derive precisely the univariate ARMA representation for x_t ? What type of ARMA process is it?

5. Suppose that y is an AR(p) stochastic process:

$$y_t - \phi_1 y_{t-1} - \phi_2 y_{t-2} - \dots - \phi_p y_{t-p} = \varepsilon_t.$$

Show that if y has a unit root (a 1-L factor) in its autoregressive lag polynomial, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i = 1.$$

[Hints: - A p -th order lag polynomial can be factored into p lag polynomials of order 1.
 - Setting $L=1$ in a lag polynomial provides a useful way of thinking about the sum of coefficients]