The two essential properties of language are that it refers to things in the world and that its grammatical structure can be characterized independently of meaning or reference (1). The autonomy of grammatical structure has led to a long tradition in psycholinguistics according to which it is assumed that the brain mechanisms responsible for the rapid syntactic structuring of continuous linguistic input are “encapsulated” from other cognitive and perceptual systems (2), much as early visual processing often is claimed to be structured by autonomous processing modules (3). This contrasts with a second tradition in which language processing is inextricably tied to reference and relevant behavioral context (4). The primary empirical evidence that syntactic processing is modular is that brief syntactic ambiguities, which arise because language unfolds over time, appear to be initially resolved independently of prior context. Unfortunately, it has been impossible to perform the crucial test to determine whether strongly constraining nonlinguistic information can influence the earliest moments of syntactic processing, because experimental techniques that provide fine-grained temporal information about spoken language comprehension could not be used in natural contexts. However, by recording eye movements (5) as participants followed instructions to move objects (for example, “Put the apple that’s on the towel in the box”), we were able to monitor the ongoing comprehension process on a millisecond time scale. This enabled us to observe the rapid mental processes that accompany spoken language comprehension.

Our initial experiments demonstrated that individuals processed the instructions incrementally, making saccadic eye movements to objects immediately after hearing relevant words in the instruction. Thus the eye movements provided insight into the mental processes that accompany language comprehension. For example, when asked to touch one of four blocks that differed in marking, color, or shape, with instructions such as “Touch the starred yellow square,” a person made an eye movement to the target block an average of 250 ms after the end of the word that uniquely specified the target with respect to the visual alternatives (for example, after “starred” if only one of the blocks was starred, and after “square” if there were two starred yellow blocks). With more complex instructions, individuals made informative sequences of eye movements that were closely time-locked to words in the instruction that were relevant to establishing reference. In one experiment, subjects were given a complex instruction such as “Put the five of hearts that is below the eight of clubs” in which a display composed of seven miniature playing cards, including two fives of hearts, was presented. As the person heard “the five of hearts,” she looked at each card in turn preceding the target card before immediately looking at it again. This pattern was repeated several times until the target card was identified.
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The two essential properties of language are that it refers to things in the world and that its grammatical structure can be characterized independently of meaning or reference (1). The autonomy of grammatical structure has led to a long tradition in psycholinguistics according to which it is assumed that the brain mechanisms responsible for the rapid syntactic structuring of continuous linguistic input are “encapsulated” from other cognitive and perceptual systems (2), much as early visual processing often is claimed to be structured by autonomous processing modules (3). This contrasts with a second tradition in which language processing is inextricably tied to reference and relevant behavioral context (4). The primary empirical evidence that syntactic processing is modular is that brief syntactic ambiguities, which arise because language unfolds over time, appear to be initially resolved independently of prior context. Unfortunately, it has been impossible to perform the crucial test to determine whether strongly constraining nonlinguistic information can influence the earliest moments of syntactic processing, because experimental techniques that provide fine-grained temporal information about spoken language comprehension could not be used in natural contexts. However, by recording eye movements (5) as participants followed instructions to move objects (for example, “Put the apple that’s on the towel in the box”), we were able to monitor the ongoing comprehension process on a millisecond time scale. This enabled us to observe the rapid mental processes that accompany spoken language comprehension in natural behavioral contexts in which the language had clear real-world referents.

Our initial experiments demonstrated that individuals processed the instructions incrementally, making saccadic eye movements to objects immediately after hearing relevant words in the instruction. Thus the eye movements provided insight into the mental processes that accompany language comprehension. For example, when asked to touch one of four blocks that differed in marking, color, or shape, with instructions such as “Touch the starred yellow square,” a person made an eye movement to the target block an average of 250 ms after the end of the word that uniquely specified the target with respect to the visual alternatives (for example, after “starred” if only one of the blocks was starred, and after “square” if there were two starred yellow blocks). With more complex instructions, individuals made informative sequences of eye movements that were closely time-locked to words in the instruction that were relevant to establishing reference. In one experiment, subjects were given a complex instruction such as “Put the five of hearts that is below the eight of clubs above the three of diamonds,” with a display composed of seven miniature playing cards, including two fives of hearts. As the person heard “the five of hearts,” she looked at each of the two potential referents successively. After hearing “below the,” she immediately...
looked at a ten of clubs, which was above the five of hearts on which she had been fixating. By the time she heard the end of the word “clubs,” her eyes moved to interrogate the card above the other five of hearts, which was the eight of clubs; thus she identified that five as the target. The eye immediately shifted to the target card and remained there until the hand reached for it.

We also found that the visual context affected the resolution of temporary ambiguities within individual words. For example, halfway through the spoken word “candy,” the auditory input is consistent with both “candy” and “candle.” Subjects were presented with a display of everyday objects that sometimes included two objects with initially similar names (for example, candy and candle) and were instructed to move them around (“Pick up the candy. Now put it above the fork”). The mean time to initiate an eye movement to the correct object (candy) was 145 ms from the end of the word when there was not another object with a similar name, compared with 230 ms when an object with a similar name was included in the display (6). Because it takes about 200 ms to program a saccadic eye movement (7), these results demonstrate that the individual identified the object before hearing the end of the word, when none of the other objects had a similar name.

The compelling evidence for the rapid and nearly seamless integration of visual and linguistic information that emerged from these experiments led us to test whether information provided by the visual context would affect the syntactic processing of an instruction. The strongest evidence for the modularity of syntactic processing has come from studies of sentences with brief syntactic ambiguities, in which readers have clear preferences for particular interpretations that persist momentarily even when preceding linguistic context supports the alternative interpretation (8). However, under these conditions, the context may not be immediately accessible, because it has to be represented in memory. We reasoned that a relevant visual context that was available for the listener to interrogate as the linguistic input unfolded might influence its initial syntactic analysis. If so, this would provide definitive evidence against syntactic modularity.

We used instructions containing the temporary syntactic ambiguity with perhaps the strongest syntactic preference in English, as illustrated by the examples, “Put the apple on the towel in the box,” and “Put the apple that’s on the towel in the box.”

In the first sentence, the first prepositional phrase, “on the towel,” is ambiguous as to whether it modifies the noun phrase (“the apple”), thus specifying the location of the object to be picked up, or whether it denotes the destination, that is, the location where the apple is to be put. Those who experiment with this type of ambiguity consistently find that readers and listeners interpret the first prepositional phrase as specifying the destination, which results in momentary confusion when they encounter the second preposition (“in”) (9). Modular models attribute this preference to syntactic simplicity and to the syntactic requirements of the verb “put” (10). In the second sentence, the word “that’s” disambiguates the phrase as a modifier and serves as an unambiguous control condition.

Six people who had not performed these tasks before were presented with three instances of each of the four conditions created by pairing the two types of instructions (ambiguous and unambiguous), as illustrated in the example above, with a one-referent visual context that supported the destination interpretation and a two-referent context that supported the modification interpretation (11). In the one-referent context for that example, the workspace contained a towel with an apple on it, another towel without an apple, a box, and a pencil. Upon hearing the phrase “the apple,” individuals can immediately identify the object to be moved because there is only one apple, and thus they are likely to assume that “on the towel” is specifying the destination. In the two-referent context, the pencil was replaced by a second apple that was on a napkin. Thus “the apple” could refer to either of the two apples, and the phrase “on the towel” provides modifying information that specifies which apple is the correct referent (12). However, if initial syntactic processing is encapsulated, as modular theories claim, then people should still initially interpret “on the towel” as the destination.

In fact, strikingly different fixation patterns between the two visual contexts revealed that the ambiguous phrase “on the towel” was initially interpreted as a destination in the one-referent context, but as a modifier in the two-referent context. In the one-referent context with the ambiguous instruction, participants first looked at the target object (the apple) 500 ms after hearing “apple,” but then they looked at the incorrect destination (the irrelevant towel) 55% of the time, shortly after hearing “towel”; this indicated that they had initially interpreted “on the towel” as specifying the destination. The participants then looked back
at the apple to pick it up, and finally at the box for placement. When the unambiguous instruction was presented in the one-referent context, participants never looked at the incorrect destination (13) (Fig. 1).

In the two-referent context, participants often looked at both apples shortly after hearing “the apple,” which reflected the fact that reference could not be established on the basis of just that input. Participants looked at the incorrect referent during 42% of the unambiguous trials and during 61% of the ambiguous trials. In contrast, in the one-referent context, in which reference could be established given just “the apple,” individuals rarely looked at the incorrect object (pencil); this occurred during 0 and 6% of the trials for the ambiguous and unambiguous instructions, respectively.

The time it took participants to establish reference correctly in the two-referent context did not differ for the ambiguous and unambiguous instructions, indicating that “on the towel” was immediately interpreted as a modifier, not as a destination. Individuals then typically looked directly to the box for object placement without looking at the incorrect destination (Fig. 2). In contrast with the one-referent context, ambiguity in the instruction did not affect the proportion of eye movements to the incorrect destination in the two-referent context (14) (Fig. 3).

Our results demonstrate that in natural contexts, people seek to establish reference with respect to their behavioral goals during the earliest moments of linguistic processing. Moreover, referentially relevant nonlinguistic information immediately affects the manner in which the linguistic input is initially structured. Given these results, approaches to language comprehension that assign a central role to encapsulated linguistic subsystems are unlikely to prove fruitful. More promising are theories by which grammatical constraints are integrated into processing systems that coordinate linguistic and nonlinguistic information as the linguistic input is processed (10, 15). Finally, our results show that with well-defined tasks, eye movements can be used to observe under natural conditions the rapid mental processes that underlie spoken language comprehension. This paradigm can be extended to explore questions on topics ranging from recognition of spoken words to conversations interactions during cooperative problem solving.
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**TECHNICAL COMMENTS**

**Origins of Fullerenes in Rocks**

Naturally occurring fullerenes have been found in rock samples that were subject to singular geologic events such as lightning strokes (1), wildfires at the K-T boundary (2), and meteoritic impacts (3). These findings are expected, as fullerenes form normally under highly energetic conditions. However, P. R. Buseck et al. (4) reported the presence of C_{60} in a carbon-rich rock sample from Shunga, in Karelia, Russia, in which the host geologic unit was highly metamorphosed and there was no evidence of exposure to extreme conditions. If fullerenes did form naturally in such an environment, we would expect them to be widely present elsewhere, and there would be many ramifications. For example, the presence of fullerenes in the earliest times would have implications for the evolution of life (that is, as an early source of large molecules).

We studied the occurrence and distribution of fullerenes in carbon-rich rocks, including samples of shungite from the deposit in Shunga. To avoid sources of contamination by fullerenes, our samples were prepared in laboratories where there had been no previous work done on fullerenes. The outer 2- to 4-mm portion of the shungite samples was removed, and only the core material was gently crushed and ground before mass spectrometry (MS) analysis was carried out directly on the rock powder. Laser Fourier-transform MS and thermal desorption negative ion MS methods were used. In the thermal desorption MS, the temperature was scanned up to 450°C, at which C_{60} and C_{70} are fully volatilized. One sample was purposely contaminated with 100 ppm of commercial fullerenes as a control and to check the sensitivity of the analysis. The result of this reference test indicated that we could detect fullerenes at 10 ppm, or less, without difficulty. The three samples from the Shunga locality (5) had a variable carbon content of about 100, 90, and 10% by weight. These samples were hosted by about 2-billion-year-old metamorphosed volcanic and sedimentary rocks of the Karelain terrain, which extends northwest through Finland and into Finmark (northern Norway). We also analyzed one carbon-rich sample from the Bidjovagge mine near Kautokeino, Finmark, from rocks with broadly similar age, provenance, and metamorphic history as those of Shunga.